

Coexistence of 0 and π states in Josephson junctions*

Z. Radović

Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, P.O. Box 368, 11001 Belgrade, Yugoslavia

L. Dobrosavljević-Grujić

Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 57, 11080 Belgrade, Yugoslavia

B. Vujičić

Faculty of Science, University of Montenegro, P.O. Box 211, 81000 Podgorica, Yugoslavia

New modes of the magnetic flux penetration in SQUIDS with ferromagnetic junctions are predicted theoretically. Characteristic multinode anharmonicity of the current-phase relation implies the coexistence of stable and metastable 0 and π states for a large interval of strength of the ferromagnetic barrier influence at low temperature. As a consequence, the coexistence of integer and half-integer fluxoid configurations appears in both rf and dc SQUIDS, and generates two flux jumps per one external flux quantum. In dc SQUIDS, new flux jumps are manifested as two dips in the critical current dependence on the external magnetic flux.

I. INTRODUCTION

A number of possibilities to obtain negative coupling between two superconductors in a Josephson junction have been proposed.¹⁻³ The negative sign of the Josephson current corresponds to the coupling energy minimum at the phase difference π between the superconducting electrodes. In superconducting rings containing an odd number of such π shifts, the flow of spontaneous supercurrent may lead to anomalous flux quantization. This has been confirmed in experiments with high- T_c superconductors, where the negative coupling is due to d -wave symmetry of the superconducting order parameter.⁴ Also, reversing the direction of the supercurrent appears to be observed in out-of-equilibrium mesoscopic superconducting structures.⁵ Recently, a metastable π state has been observed in ^3He weak links.⁶

The possibility of π coupling through a barrier containing paramagnetic impurities has been proposed first by Bulaevskii et al.,¹ and in superconductor/ferromagnet/superconductor (S/F/S) metallic weak links by Buzdin et al.⁷ Subsequently, the progress in fabrication of S/F multilayers has motivated considerable experimental⁸⁻¹⁵ and theoretical¹⁶⁻²² investigations of π coupling. Theory of proximity coupled S/F superlattices in the dirty limit,¹⁶⁻¹⁹ and of atomic-scale S/F superlattices with tunneling between S and F layers,^{21,22} is well established. A clear evidence of π coupling, which has been sought in several S/F systems from the oscillations of the critical temperature, predicted in Refs. 16 and 17, now appears to be established unambiguously.^{15,23} Recently, another evidence of π state is found in the nonmonotonic variation of the critical current in S/F/S junctions.^{24,25}

The modified Andreev reflection at S/F interfaces has been explored theoretically, both for s -wave²⁶ and d -wave superconductors,²⁷ and experimentally.^{28,29} The Josephson current in s -wave superconductor/ferromagnetic insulator/superconductor (S/FI/S) junctions has been calculated in several papers,³⁰⁻³² whereas more recent works deal with d -wave S/F/S and F/I/S junctions,^{33,34} and with the general case of unconventional superconductor junctions with ferromagnetic barrier.³⁵

At low temperature the Andreev reflection is dominant, and the ferromagnetic barrier may induce a characteristic anharmonic current-phase relation with new nodes in $I(\phi)$.^{32,33,35} The similar type of anharmonicity may appear in d -wave pinhole junctions,³⁶ or in S/I/S junctions,³⁷ as found experimentally in the grain-boundary Josephson junctions in high- T_c superconductors.³⁸

In this paper we present new consequences of the modified Andreev reflection in S/F/S junctions. We show that coexisting stable and metastable 0 and π states appear in the vicinity of a critical strength of the ferromagnetic barrier influence, Sec. II. This coexistence leads to new modes of the magnetic flux penetration in superconducting loops

*Shorter version, without Figs. 6,7,8, is submitted to Phys. Rev. B, October 19, 2000.

interrupted by a single ferromagnetic junction (rf SQUID), or two junctions (dc SQUID), Sec. III and IV, respectively. Section V contains a brief summary and discussion.

II. CURRENT-PHASE RELATION

We consider an S/F/S junction with a ferromagnetic metal barrier of thickness d and constant exchange energy h . Both superconducting and ferromagnetic metals are assumed clean, with same dispersion relations and with same Fermi energies. The pair potential variation is taken as a step function: $\Delta = 0$ in the barrier, and $\Delta = \Delta_0 \exp(\pm i\phi/2)$ in superconducting electrodes, where ϕ is the phase difference at the junction.

The Josephson current density is calculated within the quasiclassical theory of superconductivity,⁷

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) = -2ie\pi N(0)k_B T \sum_{\omega_n} \left\langle \mathbf{v}_0 \frac{g_{\uparrow} + g_{\downarrow}}{2} \right\rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_0$ is the Fermi velocity, $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes the angular averaging over the Fermi surface, and $N(0)$ is the density of states at the Fermi level. The quasiclassical normal Green's functions $g_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_0, \omega_n)$, where $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$, and $\hbar\omega_n = \pi k_B T(2n + 1)$, are calculated from the Eilenberger equations in the previous paper.³³

For s -wave pairing in superconducting electrodes and transparent interfaces between two metals, the normal Green's function in the barrier is

$$g_{\sigma} = \frac{\omega_n \cos \chi/2 + i\sqrt{\omega_n^2 + \Delta_0^2} \sin \chi/2}{\sqrt{\omega_n^2 + \Delta_0^2} \cos \chi/2 + i\omega_n \sin \chi/2}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\chi = \phi \mp \frac{Z}{\cos \varphi} - \frac{2i\omega_n d}{\hbar v_0 \cos \varphi} \quad (2.3)$$

for $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ respectively, and

$$Z = \frac{2hd}{\hbar v_0} \quad (2.4)$$

is the parameter measuring the ferromagnetic barrier influence, φ being the angle between the direction of $\mathbf{v}_0$ and the axis perpendicular to the barrier. For $Z = 0$, the Green's function, Eq. (2.2), has the well known form for S/N/S junction.³⁹

For spherical Fermi surface, the Josephson current through the barrier of area S , $I = jS$, is

$$I = \frac{\pi k_B T}{2e R_N} \sum_{\omega_n, \sigma} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im}[g_{\sigma}(u) + g_{\sigma}(-u)]}{u^3} du, \quad (2.5)$$

where $u = 1/\cos \varphi$, and the normal resistance is given by $R_N^{-1} = e^2 v_0 N(0) S$. The temperature dependence of $\Delta_0 = \Delta_0(T)$ is approximated by

$$\Delta_0(T) = \Delta_0(0) \tanh \left(1.74 \sqrt{T_c/T - 1} \right), \quad (2.6)$$

where T_c is the critical temperature of superconducting electrodes.

The current-phase relation $I(\phi)$ is calculated numerically as a function of ϕ , T and of parameters measuring the influence of the ferromagnetic barrier, Z and d . The results, illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2 for fixed thickness of the barrier, $d = 0.25 \xi_0(0)$, where $\xi_0(0) = \hbar v_0 / \pi \Delta_0(0)$ is the BCS coherence length at zero temperature, remain practically unchanged for any barrier thickness $d \sim 0.1 \xi_0(0)$ at fixed Z .

The ground state phase difference ϕ_{gs} is determined from the minimum of the S/F/S junction energy⁴⁰

$$W(\phi) = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi} \int_0^{\phi} I(\phi') d\phi', \quad (2.7)$$

where Φ_0 is the flux quantum, Fig. 3.

At high temperature, $T/T_c > 0.9$, the current-phase relation is quite close to

$$I = \pm I_c \sin \phi, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\pm$ signs correspond to 0 and π junction, respectively. The amplitude of oscillations in $I(\phi)$ decreases when Z increases from zero to the critical value $Z_c \approx 1$. For $Z > Z_c$, the supercurrent flow in the opposite direction (Fig. 1(a)), and I_c starts to increase. This is the signature of the π junction with ground state phase difference $\phi_{\text{gs}} = \pi$, Fig. 3(a).

At low temperature, for clean and transparent normal metal barrier, the Andreev reflection is dominant, and deviations from the sinusoidal $I(\phi)$ law are pronounced.^{39,41} In the S/F/S case, due to the influence of the exchange interaction in the barrier, the current-phase relation is even more anharmonic. Positive for small Z , where 0 state is stable, the sign of $I(\phi)$ for $\phi \rightarrow 0$ is negative for large Z , where π state is stable, and multinode oscillations in $I(\phi)$ appear in the vicinity of Z_c , Fig. 1(b). In the latter case, there are stationary states $I(\phi) = 0$, $I'(\phi) > 0$ both for $\phi = 0$ (2π) and $\phi = \pi$. One of these states is stable, and the other is metastable. For example, at $T/T_c = 0.1$ for $Z = 0.9$ the metastable state is $\phi = \pi$, $\phi = 0$ being the stable state, and *vice versa* for $Z = 1.1$, Fig. 3(b). Zero and π states are equally stable at $Z = Z_c$. While Z_c is practically temperature and barrier thickness independent, the interval of the coexistence of 0 and π states, $Z_{c-} \leq Z \leq Z_{c+}$, rapidly decreases with increasing temperature. For $d/\xi_0(0) = 0.25$, $Z_c = 1.03$ and the coexistence interval is $0.1 \leq Z \leq 1.5$ at $T = 0$, while $Z_c = 1.05$ and the coexistence interval is $1.02 \leq Z \leq 1.08$ at $T/T_c = 0.9$.

The appearance of the π junction for $1 < Z < 4$ is also manifested in the nonmonotonous dependence of the critical current $I_c = \max|I(\phi)|$ on Z at given T , Fig. 2. For $Z > 4$, $\phi_{\text{gs}} = 0$ again, and near $Z \approx 4$ metastable states would appear as well. However, large Z would induce the decoupling of S electrodes.³⁰ For fixed h , such a nonmonotonic variation of the critical current as a function of the barrier thickness d has been observed in Nb/Al/Gd/Al/Nb junctions.²⁴ The same effect is also predicted for the atomic-scale S/F superlattices.²²

Recently measured $I(\phi)$ in ^3He weak links⁶ are of the same form as the curves in Fig. 1(b) for $Z < 1$, corresponding to the metastable π state. In d -wave S/F/S junctions, stable and metastable states may also coexist due to the exchange interaction. However, in this case the ground state phase differences can vary from 0 to π , depending not only on Z and T , but also on the crystal orientation of the superconducting electrodes.^{33,35}

III. SINGLE-JUNCTION LOOP

When S/F/S junction is a part of a superconducting loop (rf SQUID), the ground state is determined by minimizing the SQUID free energy

$$W(\phi) = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi} \left[\int_0^\phi I(\phi') d\phi' + \frac{\Phi_0}{4\pi L} (\phi - \phi_e)^2 \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where $\phi_e = 2\pi\Phi_e/\Phi_0$ represents the normalized external magnetic flux through the loop, and L is the inductance.⁴⁰ Therefore, the total magnetic flux through the loop $\Phi = (\Phi_0/2\pi)\phi$ is related to the external flux Φ_e by

$$LI(\Phi) + \Phi - \Phi_e = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

For $\Phi_e = 0$, and for Z sufficiently large (π junction), there is a flow of spontaneous supercurrent,³³ with nonmonotonic dependence on the normalized inductance

$$l = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} LI_c. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that when $n \leq \Phi_e/\Phi_0 \leq n+1$, where $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, $\Phi/\Phi_0 \rightarrow \Phi/\Phi_0 + n$.

From Eqs. (2.5) and (3.2) $\Phi(\Phi_e)$ is calculated numerically. The results are illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5 for several values of l and Z , for $d = 0.25 \xi_0(0)$.

At high temperature, $T/T_c > 0.9$, for $l > 1$ and $Z < Z_c$ the double-well SQUID energy gives stable and metastable solutions of Eq. (3.2), equally stable at $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 = 0.5$. Corresponding flux jump between $\Phi/\Phi_0 \approx 0$ and $\Phi/\Phi_0 \approx 1$ is indicated by vertical line linking the stable solutions, Fig. 4(a). In experiments, the hysteretic loops enclosing these lines are usually seen. For π junctions, $Z > Z_c$, Fig. 4(b), there is only one stable solution with $\Phi/\Phi_0 \approx 0.5$, and flux jumps at $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 = 0$ and 1, without hysteresis. For $l \ll 1$, the effect of supercurrents in the loop is negligible, and $\Phi \approx \Phi_e$ for any Z .

At low temperature, similar results are obtained only in the limiting cases of normal metal and strong ferromagnet barriers. For $Z = 0$ and $l \gg 1$, there is the usual integer flux quantization, Fig. 5(a). For $Z = 3$ and $l \gg 1$, there is the

half-integer flux quantization, Fig. 5(d), $\Phi(\Phi_e)$ being nonhysteretic in contrast to the previous case. Corresponding free energies are illustrated in Fig. 6. New features appear for Z close to Z_c , related to the presence of stable and metastable minima of the triple-well SQUID energy, Figs. 6(b) and 6(c). For relatively small inductance, $l < 10$, the SQUID has two degenerate configurations: the nearly zero- and one-half- fluxoid state, when $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 < 0.5$, and the nearly one-half- and one- fluxoid states, when $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 > 0.5$, as shown in Fig. 7. For example, at $T/T_c = 0.1$, for $0.4 \leq Z \leq 1.4$ and $l < 10$, new hysteretic jumps of Φ are found, from $\Phi \approx 0$ to $\Phi/\Phi_0 \approx 0.5$ in the interval $0 < \Phi_e/\Phi_0 < 0.5$, and from $\Phi/\Phi_0 \approx 0.5$ to $\Phi/\Phi_0 \approx 1$ in the interval $0.5 < \Phi_e/\Phi_0 < 1$, Figs. 5(b) and 5(c). This anomalous periodicity of $\Phi(\Phi_e)$ resemble to the usual one, Fig. 5(a), scaled by $\Phi_0 \rightarrow \Phi_0/2$.

IV. TWO-JUNCTION LOOP

For a loop containing two S/F/S junctions (dc SQUID) the total phase difference is $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$, where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the phase differences at the first and the second junction, respectively. In the ground state, the magnetic flux through the loop $\Phi(\Phi_e)$ is calculated from two coupled equations⁴⁰

$$I_1(\Phi_1) + I_2(\Phi_2) = I_e \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$L_1 I_1(\Phi_1) - L_2 I_2(\Phi_2) + \Phi - \Phi_e = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where I_e is the external current, $\Phi_{1,2} = (\Phi_0/2\pi)\phi_{1,2}$ and $\Phi = \Phi_1 - \Phi_2$. As expected, $\Phi(\Phi_e)$ dependence for dc SQUID in the absence of the external current, $I_e = 0$, is similar to that for rf SQUID.

At high temperature, $T/T_c > 0.9$, and for two 0 junctions, $Z_1 < Z_c$, $Z_2 < Z_c$, or two π junctions, $Z_1 > Z_c$, $Z_2 > Z_c$, $\Phi(\Phi_e)$ dependence is qualitatively the same as in a hysteretic single 0 junction loop, Fig. 4(a). For one 0 junction and one π junction, the two-junction loop behaves as a nonhysteretic single π junction loop, Fig. 4(b).

At low temperature, outside the coexistence interval of 0 and π states, for two 0 junctions, $Z_1 < Z_{c-}$, $Z_2 < Z_{c-}$, or for two π junctions, $Z_1 > Z_{c+}$, $Z_2 > Z_{c+}$, the two-junction loop behaves as a hysteretic single 0 junction loop. For one 0 junction, and one π junction, $Z_1 < Z_{c-}$, $Z_2 > Z_{c+}$ or *vice versa*, the two-junction loop behaves as a nonhysteretic single π junction loop. For example, at $T/T_c = 0.1$, $\Phi(\Phi_e)$ curves for $Z_1 = Z_2 = 0$ and $Z_1 = Z_2 = 3$ would be practically the same as in Fig. 5(a), and for $Z_1 = 0$ and $Z_2 = 3$ as in Fig. 5(d), if $L_1 = L_2$, the first junction normalized inductance $l_1 = (2\pi/\Phi_0)I_{c1}L_1$ corresponding to l of a single-junction loop. When Z , for at least one of the junctions, lies within the coexistence interval, $Z_{c-} < Z < Z_{c+}$ new jumps between the stable solutions appear for sufficiently small inductance, similarly to the single-junction loop. For example, at $T/T_c = 0.1$ and for $L_1 = L_2$, $\Phi(\Phi_e)$ curves for $Z_1 = 0$ and $Z_2 = 0.9$ are the same as in Fig. 5(b), and for $Z_1 = 0$ and $Z_2 = 1.1$, as in Fig. 5(c), see Fig. 8. Magnetic flux penetration in the case when metastable states exist in both junctions is illustrated for $Z_1 = 1.1$ and $Z_2 = 0.9$ in Fig. 9(a).

For nonzero external current, up to its maximum value $I_{ec}(\Phi_e) \leq I_{c1} + I_{c2}$, $\Phi(\Phi_e)$ curves are similar to those for $I_e = 0$, the flux through the loop becoming closer to the external flux. However, when 0 and π states coexist, for high external current flux jumps appear for any inductance, as illustrated for $I_e = I_{ec}(\Phi_e)$ in Fig. 9(b).

In general, $I_{ec}(\Phi_e)$ curves have characteristic dips at the same positions as the jumps in $\Phi(\Phi_e)$ curves. Three typical cases at low temperature are illustrated in Fig. 10 for one period of $I_{ec}(\Phi_e)$. For two 0 junction loop, there is the usual $I_{ec}(\Phi_e)$ dependence,⁴⁰ with a single dip at $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 = 0.5$, Fig. 10(a). For one 0 and one π junction, there are two dips at the ends of the period, $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 = 0$ and 1, Fig. 10(b). New characteristic behavior is obtained when there is the coexistence of 0 and π states in one of the junctions at least: $I_{ec}(\Phi_e)$ curve has three maxima and two central dips at the flux jumps positions in $\Phi(\Phi_e)$, Fig. 10(c).

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The ground state phase difference ϕ_{gs} in *s*-wave S/F/S junctions is always 0 or π . In the vicinity of the critical strength of the ferromagnetic barrier influence Z_c , where 0 and π states are equally stable, the characteristic multinode anharmonicity of $I(\phi)$ implies the coexistence of stable 0 and metastable π state for $Z_{c-} \leq Z \leq Z_c$, or *vice versa* for $Z_c \leq Z \leq Z_{c+}$. For $Z < Z_{c-}$ and for $Z > Z_{c+}$ only stable states exist, $\phi_{gs} = 0$, and $\phi_{gs} = \pi$, respectively. The interval of Z , where the metastable states appear, rapidly decreases with increasing temperature, whereas Z_c is practically unchanged.

Stable and metastable states can also coexist in d -wave S/F/S junctions,³³ in contrast to d -wave non-magnetic junctions where the similar anharmonicity of the current-phase relation does not lead to the metastable states.³⁷

The peculiar low temperature behavior of clean and transparent S/F/S junctions is due to the modification of the Andreev reflection by the exchange interaction in the ferromagnet. The effects of the barrier interfacial non-transparency, and of the scattering on impurities, neglected in this paper, suppress the Andreev reflection. As a consequence, anharmonicity of the current-phase relation becomes less pronounced, the value of Z_c changes, and the metastability region narrows.⁴² However, when these effects are relatively weak, they do not change the above results qualitatively. We also expect that the suppression of the pair potential near the interfaces, not included in the present non-selfconsistent calculation, would not destroy the metastable states neither.³⁵

The coexistence of 0 and π states in the S/F/S-junction leads to the coexistence of integer and half-integer fluxoid configurations in SQUIDS, corresponding to the minima of the triple-well potential energy, and generating two flux jumps per one external flux quantum. This is the case when Z is close to Z_c in rf SQUID, and at least in one of the junctions in dc SQUID. Another feature is the multipeak dependence of the dc SQUID critical current on the external magnetic flux.

In conclusion, experimental investigation of the predicted new manifestation of the modified Andreev reflection is desirable. Beside possible device applications, e.g. quantum computing,⁴³ the coexistence of integer and half-integer flux quantum configuration in S/F/S junction SQUIDS could be also used for the experimental study of the quantum superposition of macroscopically distinct states.⁴⁴

-
- ¹ L. N. Bulaevskii, V. V. Kuzii, and A. A. Sobyenin, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **25**, 314 (1977) [JETP Lett. **25**, 290 (1977)].
² B. I. Spivak and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 3740 (1991).
³ M. Sigrist and T. M. Rice, J. Phys. Jpn. **61**, 4283 (1992).
⁴ D. J. van Harlingen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **67**, 515 (1995).
⁵ J. J. Baselmans, A. F. Morpurgo, B. J. van Wees, and T. M. Klapwijk, Science, August (1999).
⁶ A. Marchenkov, R. W. Simmonds, S. Backhaus, A. Loshak, J. C. Davis, and R. E. Packard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3860 (1999).
⁷ A. I. Buzdin, L. N. Bulaevskii, and S. V. Paniukov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **35**, 147 (1982) [JETP Lett. **35**, 178 (1982)].
⁸ H. K. Wong, B. Y. Jin, H. Q. Jang, J. B. Ketterson, and J. E. Hilliard, J. Low Temp. Phys. **63**, 307 (1986).
⁹ C. Strunk, C. Sürgers, U. Pashen, and H. v. Löhneysen, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 4053 (1994).
¹⁰ P. Koorevaar, Y. Suzuki, R. Coehoorn, and J. Aarts, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 441 (1994).
¹¹ J. S. Jiang, D. Davidović, D. H. Reich, and C. L. Chien, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 314 (1995).
¹² Th. Mühge, K. Westerholt, and H. Zabel, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 8945 (1997).
¹³ G. Verbanck, C. D. Potter, V. Metlushko, R. Schad, V. V. Moshchalkov, and Y. Bruynseraede, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 6029 (1998).
¹⁴ L. V. Mercaldo, C. Attanasio, C. Coccorese, L. Maritato, S. L. Prischepa, and M. Salvato, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 14040 (1996).
¹⁵ Y. Obi, M. Ikebe, T. Kubo, and H. Fujimori, Physica C **317–318**, 149 (1999).
¹⁶ Z. Radović, M. Ledvij, Lj. Dobrosavljević-Grujić, A. I. Buzdin, and J. R. Clem, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 759 (1991).
¹⁷ A. I. Buzdin and M. V. Kupriyanov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **52**, 1089 (1990) [JETP Lett. **52**, 487 (1990)]; A. I. Buzdin, M. V. Kupriyanov, and B. Vujičić, Physica C **185–189**, 2025 (1991).
¹⁸ E. A. Demler, G. B. Arnold, and M. R. Beasley, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 15174 (1997).
¹⁹ K. Kuboya and K. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 6022 (1998).
²⁰ L. R. Tagirov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 2058 (1999).
²¹ A. V. Andreev, A. I. Buzdin, and R. M. Osgood III, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 10124 (1991).
²² V. Prokić, A. I. Buzdin, and L. Dobrosavljević-Grujić, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 587 (1999).
²³ L. Lazar, K. Westerholt, H. Zabel, L. R. Tagirov, Yu. V. Goryunov, N. N. Garif'yanov, and I. A. Garifullin, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 3711 (2000).
²⁴ O. Bourgeois, Doctoral Thesis, Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, France, (1999).
²⁵ V. V. Ryazanov, A. V. Veretennikov, V. A. Oboznov, A. Yu. Rusanov, V. A. Larkin, A. A. Golubov, and J. Aarts, Proc. of M²S-HTSC-VI, Houston, Texas, 2000.
²⁶ M. J. M. de Jong and C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1657 (1995).
²⁷ J.-X. Zhu, B. Friedman, and C. S. Ting, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 9558 (1999).
²⁸ S. K. Upadhyay, A. Palanisami, R. N. Louie, and R. A. Buhrman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3247 (1998).
²⁹ V. A. Vasko, V. A. Larkin, P. A. Kraus, K. R. Nikolaev, D. E. Grupp, C. A. Nordman, and A. M. Goldman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1134 (1997).
³⁰ S. V. Kuplevakhskii and I. I. Fal'ko, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **52**, 957 (1990) [JETP Lett. **52**, 340 (1990)].
³¹ M. J. De Weert and G. B. Arnold, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 11307 (1989).

- ³² Y. Tanaka and S. Kashiwaya, *Physica C* **274**, 357 (1997).
- ³³ Z. Radović, L. Dobrosavljević-Grujić, and B. Vujičić, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 6844 (1999).
- ³⁴ S. Kashiwaya, Y. Tanaka, N. Yoshida, and M. R. Beasley, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 3572 (1999).
- ³⁵ Y. Tanaka and S. Kashiwaya, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn* **68**, 3485 (1999); *ibid* **69**, 1152 (2000).
- ³⁶ M. Fogelström, S. Yip, J. Kurkijärvi, *Physica C* **294**, 289 (1998).
- ³⁷ Y. Tanaka and S. Kashiwaya, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 892 (1997).
- ³⁸ E. Il'ichev, V. Zakosarenko, R. P. J. IJsselsteijn, H. E. Hoenig, V. Schultze, H.-G. Meyer, M. Grajcar, and R. Hlubina, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 3096 (1999).
- ³⁹ A. V. Svidzinskii, *Spatially Inhomogeneous Problems in the Theory of Superconductivity* (Nauka, Moskva, 1982), in russian.
- ⁴⁰ A. Barone and G. Paterno, *Physics and Applications of the Josephson Effect* (J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1982).
- ⁴¹ K. K. Likharev, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **51**, 101 (1979).
- ⁴² Z. Radović, N. Lazaridis, and N. Flytzanis (unpublished).
- ⁴³ T.P. Orlando, J.E. Mooij, L. Tian, C.H. van der Wal, L.S. Levitov, S. Lloyd, J.J. Mazo, *Phys Rev. B* **60**, 15398, (1999).
- ⁴⁴ T. Leggett, *Physics World*, August (2000).

FIG. 1. Current-phase relation of S/F/S junction $I(\phi)$ for several values of Z , including Z_c (dashed curve), for $d/\xi_0(0) = 0.25$. (a) $T/T_c = 0.9$, $Z_c = 1.049$, and (b) $T/T_c = 0.1$, $Z_c = 1.030$.

FIG. 2. Critical current I_c as a function of Z for $T/T_c = 0.1$ and 0.9 .

FIG. 3. Free energy of S/F/S junction W as a function of ϕ for several values of Z , including Z_c (dashed curves), for (a) $T/T_c = 0.9$ and (b) $T/T_c = 0.1$.

FIG. 4. Magnetic flux Φ through the single-junction loop as a function of the external flux Φ_e at high temperatures, $T/T_c > 0.9$, for three values of the normalized inductance l . (a) $Z < Z_c$, and (b) $Z > Z_c$. Only stable (solid curves) and metastable (dashed curves) solutions are shown, vertical lines linking the stable solutions.

FIG. 5. Magnetic flux Φ through the single-junction loop as a function of the external flux Φ_e at low temperature, $T/T_c = 0.1$, for three values of the normalized inductance l . (a) $Z = 0$, (b) $Z = 0.9$, (c) $Z = 1.1$, and (d) $Z = 3$. Only stable (solid curves) and metastable (dashed curves) solutions are shown. Vertical lines are drawn to link the stable solutions.

FIG. 6. Free energy of the single-junction loop W as a function of Φ at low temperature, $T/T_c = 0.1$, for $l^{-1} = 0.1$, four values of Z , and for $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 = 0$ (long-dashed curves), 0.5 (solid curves), and 1 (short-dashed curves).

FIG. 7. Free energy of the single-junction loop W as a function of Φ at low temperature, $T/T_c = 0.1$, for $l^{-1} = 0.5$, and for Φ_e/Φ_0 corresponding to the flux jumps at Figs. 5(b) and 5(c): (a) $Z = 0.9$, $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 = 0.37$ (solid curve), $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 = 0.63$ (dashed curve); (b) $Z = 1.1$, $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 = 0.20$ (solid curve), $\Phi_e/\Phi_0 = 0.80$ (dashed curve).

FIG. 8. Magnetic flux Φ through the two-junction loop as a function of the external flux Φ_e at low temperature, $T/T_c = 0.1$, for $L_1 = L_2$, several values of Z_1 and Z_2 , and for two values of the first junction normalized inductance l_1 in the absence of the external current, $I_e = 0$.

FIG. 9. Magnetic flux Φ through the two-junction loop, $Z_1 = 1.1$, $Z_2 = 0.9$, $L_1 = L_2$, as a function of the external flux Φ_e at low temperature, $T/T_c = 0.1$, for two values of the first junction normalized inductance l_1 . (a) $I_e = 0$ and (b) $I_e = I_{ec}$.

FIG. 10. Maximum external current I_{ec} of the two-junction loop as a function of the external flux Φ_e at low temperature, $T/T_c = 0.1$, for two values of the first junction normalized inductance l_1 . Three typical cases are shown: (a) $Z_1 = 0$, $Z_2 = 0$, (b) $Z_1 = 0$, $Z_2 = 3$, (c) $Z_1 = 1.1$, $Z_2 = 0.9$.

This figure "Cmfig1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0011378v1>

This figure "Cmfig2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0011378v1>

This figure "Cmfig3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0011378v1>

This figure "Cmfig4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0011378v1>

This figure "Cmfig5.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0011378v1>

This figure "Cmfig6.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0011378v1>

This figure "Cmfig7.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0011378v1>

This figure "Cmfig8.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0011378v1>

This figure "Cmfig9.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0011378v1>

This figure "Cmfig10.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0011378v1>